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## Introduction

The economic meltdown of the past few years has undermined the financial security of millions of Americans. U.S. household wealth has dropped by $\$ 6$ trillion since 2006, ${ }^{1}$ the national unemployment rate hovers north of 9 percent, ${ }^{2}$ and nearly half of Americans do not have the capacity to cope with a financial shock. ${ }^{3}$

In this environment, some "fringe" financial services firms are prospering by offering high-cost, short-term credit to financially-strapped consumers. ${ }^{4}$ Payday loans-small loans due in full on the borrower's next payday-are marketed as a quick solution to a financial shortfall. But research has repeatedly shown that the typical payday borrower ends up trapped in a cycle of repeat loans.

This "debt treadmill" is created by the structure of the loan itself: Repayment in full from a single paycheck or benefits check is a tall order for a household already living close to the financial edge. Borrowers routinely find themselves unable to repay the loan in full and the fee plus meet their monthly expenses; so shortly after repaying the previous loan, they require another loan. Ultimately, this series of so-called "emergency, short-term" loans is essentially long-term debt carrying annual interest rates averaging 417 percent and leading to a host of negative financial outcomes for borrowers.

While payday loans have typically been offered by non-bank payday loan shops in states where it's permitted, a few mainstream banks-who themselves are enjoying historically low-cost loans from the U.S. taxpayer through the Federal Reserve ${ }^{5}$-have started making payday loans themselves, directly to customers through their checking accounts.

They call these products "checking account advance" loans, but they are structured just like payday shop loans ${ }^{6}$-carrying a very high-cost and requiring full repayment upon the customer's next paycheck-with the added feature of providing the bank direct access to the borrower's financial lifeline, their checking account.

CRL has begun investigating payday loans by banks to determine how their use compares to patterns of use for non-bank payday loans.

As described in this paper, CRL's research finds that:

- Bank payday loans are very expensive, carrying an annual percentage rate (APR) of $\mathbf{3 6 5}$ percent based on the typical loan term of 10 days;
- "Short-term" bank payday loans often lead to a cycle of long-term indebtedness-on average, bank payday borrowers are in debt for 175 days per year (twice as long as the maximum length of time the FDIC has advised); and
- Nearly one-quarter of all bank payday borrowers are Social Security recipients, who are $\mathbf{2 . 6}$ times as likely to have used a bank payday loan as bank customers as a whole.


## Background

Overdraft Loans
Expensive short-term lending by mainstream banks has been a growing problem over the last two decades. The most dramatic growth has been in high-fee overdraft loans. Banks charge overdraft fees when a customer lacks sufficient funds in his or her checking account to cover a payment but the bank chooses to advance the funds to the depositor and pay the transaction anyway. The fee averages $\$ 34$ per transaction, often for small debit card transactions that average only $\$ 17$, which banks used to simply decline (for no fee) when a customer lacked sufficient funds. ${ }^{7}$ The loan and fees are repaid in full an average of three to five days later, when the bank repays itself from the customer's next incoming deposit. ${ }^{8}$

Research has shown that the majority of overdraft fees are paid by customers who are least able to recover from them. ${ }^{9}$ Over time, these fees leave financially-struggling consumers worse off and less likely to be able to meet their ongoing expenses, and contribute to greater numbers of unbanked households. ${ }^{10}$ These fees also hit older Americans very hard: Those heavily reliant on Social Security income paid $\$ 1.4$ billion in overdraft fees in 2008. ${ }^{11}$

Automated high-cost overdraft programs were not always widespread. What began as an ad-hoc occasional courtesy that banks and credit unions provided to their customers grew to a $\$ 10.3$ billion "service" in 2004 and to a $\$ 23.7$ billion one in 2008. ${ }^{12}$ This growth was spurred in the late 1990s and early 2000s by automated overdraft programs that were heavily marketed by consultants promising dramatic fee increases to banks. ${ }^{13}$ Some consultants even offered the software at no risk, simply charging banks a percentage of the increased fee revenue generated. ${ }^{14}$ Bank and credit union regulators generally allowed these programs, only recently issuing rules requiring banks to obtain consumer consent before enrolling them in fee-based overdraft coverage for debit card transactions. ${ }^{15}$

More recently, a few large banks have added another high-cost, short-term, balloon repayment product to the mix: payday loans. The bank deposits the loan amount directly into the customer's account and then repays itself the loan amount, plus the fee, directly from the customer's next incoming direct deposit. If direct deposits are not sufficient to repay the loan within 35 days, the bank repays itself anyway, even if the repayment overdraws the consumer's account, triggering more fees.

These loans are structured just like loans from payday shops, where borrowers typically are stuck in multiple payday loans per year-usually in quick succession and with a new fee each time, because they cannot afford to repay the loan in full, plus the fee, and meet ongoing expenses. So shortly after repaying the previous loan, they require another loan. ${ }^{16}$

Research has found that the typical non-bank payday borrower takes out nine loans per year, ${ }^{17}$ that borrowers borrow more and more over time as they are driven deeper into debt; ${ }^{18}$ and that significant numbers of borrowers-after years of cyclic debt-ultimately default. ${ }^{19}$ Research has further shown that payday lending can lead to negative financial outcomes for borrowers; these include difficulty paying other bills, difficulty staying in their home or apartment, trouble getting health care, increased risk of credit card default, loss of checking accounts, and bankruptcy. ${ }^{20}$ Finally, payday loan shops have been shown to target people of color when locating their stores. ${ }^{21}$

In a replay of the growth in overdraft programs, consultants now are actively pushing bank payday loans, touting dramatic increases in fee revenue. A recent industry webinar recommended that banks consider issuing high-cost, triple-digit APR loans, ${ }^{22}$ and payday loan software is being marketed to banks with promises that, within two years, revenue from the product "will be greater than all ancillary fee revenue combined., ${ }^{23}$ Bank payday programs are not pushed as a way to
"They get to charge a 120 percent interest rate on what is essentially a risk-free loan."

Rochdale Securities analyst September 2009. substitute for overdraft fees; rather, they promise to be an additional way banks to generate revenue. One marketing flier promises that offering the payday loan product will result in little-to-no "overdraft revenue cannibalization. ${ }^{24}$ Indeed, prior research has found that non-bank payday loans often exacerbate overdraft fees. ${ }^{25}$

Bank payday loans have already caught on with several regional and national banks, which combined hold approximately 13 percent of total deposits at national banks and savings institutions. ${ }^{26}$

Banks making payday loans claim their product is different from a loan from a payday shop, but it's not. By calling their payday loan product a "direct deposit advance" or "checking account advance," banks attempt to differentiate it from other payday loans. ${ }^{27}$ The national bank regulator, the Office of the Comptroller of the Currency (OCC), has tried to distinguish the product as well, stating: "It's not a payday loan. It's
available through banks and bank branches. It's something you don't get at a storefront . [and] customers . . . don't have to use it." ${ }^{\prime 28}$

But these distinctions are superficial at best and fiction at worst. Payday loans by banks have all the hallmark characteristic of those made by payday shops:

| Comparison of Loan Features: Bank Payday Loan vs. Non-bank Payday Loan |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  | Bank Payday Loan | Non-bank Payday Loan |  |
| Cost of typical loan | $365 \%$ APR $^{29}$ | $417 \%$ APR $^{30}$ |  |
| Repayment timing and amount | Due in full upon the customer's next <br> deposit | Due in full at customer's next payday |  |
| Access to checking account funds for <br> repayment | Bank repays itself automatically from <br> the customer's next deposit, whether it <br> is a paycheck or public benefits, like <br> unemployment or Social Security | Lender has customer's post-dated <br> check or electronic access to the <br> customer's checking account |  |
| Underwriting borrower's ability to <br> repay loan without funds provided by <br> an additional payday loan | None |  |  |

As described below, CRL research also shows that bank payday lending has many of the same problems as non-bank payday loans, including high costs and a long-term debt trap.

## Key Findings

For our analysis, we used checking account data from a nationwide sample of U.S. credit card holders, generally representative across geography, household income, and credit scores, tracked by Lightspeed Research Inc. Participating account holders provide Lightspeed access to all of their checking account activity occurring during their period of participation, including the deposits, paper checks, electronic bill payments, debit card purchases, fees, and miscellaneous charges or credits that are posted to the account. Our analysis included transaction-level data for 614 checking accounts, over a 12-month period; this was the total number of checking accounts in the consumer panel held at banks that were found to offer payday loans, based on observing instances of payday loans in the panel. ${ }^{31}$ We identified instances of bank payday loan repayments within 55 of those 614 accounts, and analyzed these for loan term, loan frequency, repayments, and other relevant factors. ${ }^{32}$

As banks have not made data on this product public themselves, our only means of obtaining detailed data on bank payday loans was to purchase transaction activity from a data aggregator. Lightspeed has the most robust transaction level data of any aggregator of which we are aware. Our findings based on the instances of bank payday loans we identified in this dataset are deeply troubling; we call on regulators to immediately collect and make public data from the banks themselves to learn more about the impact this product has on banks' customers.

Our analysis finds the following:
Finding 1: Bank payday loans are very expensive, carrying an annual percentage rate (APR) of 365 percent based on the typical loan term of 10 days.

The typical bank payday loan was outstanding for only 10 days. ${ }^{33}$ Banks typically charge $\$ 10$ per $\$ 100$ borrowed, ${ }^{34}$ amounting to a 365 percent annual percentage rate (APR).

| Cost of Bank Payday Loan |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Length of Loan | Annual Percentage Rate |
| 10 days (average length) | $365 \%$ |
| 14 days | $261 \%$ |
| One month | $120 \%$ |

Even when the loan was outstanding for a full month, the APR of 120 percent is significantly more expensive than alternative credit products such as credit cards or consumer finance loans. For example, in the second quarter of 2011, the average credit card interest rate paid was 13.10 percent and the average interest rate on a 24 -month personal loan from a commercial bank was 11.47 percent. ${ }^{35}$

Finding 2: Short-term bank payday loans often lead to a cycle of long-term indebtedness; on average, bank payday borrowers are in debt for 175 days per year (twice as long as the maximum length of time the FDIC has advised).

Our analysis shows that, on average, bank payday borrowers have 16 loans and, assuming these loans were not concurrent, stay in payday debt for 175 days per year. ${ }^{36}$

In 2005, the FDIC issued guidelines in response to the growing problem of payday lending. The payday lending industry had been asserting that the high cost of these loans was justified because borrowers did not remain in these loans for a long time; rather, the loans were short-term and used only rarely, when customers were in a pinch. The FDIC found that this justification was not valid when payday loans were repeated many times. Thus, it advised that if a borrower had payday loans outstanding for any more than 90 days in a one-year period, the product was not being used as short-term credit, and further payday loans to the borrower were inappropriate. ${ }^{37}$ The FDIC has issued guidelines for responsible small dollar loans, which include reasonable interest rates and affordable installments that reduce loan principal over time. ${ }^{38}$

Along the same lines, the National Credit Union Administration (NCUA) recently advised that short-term loans more expensive than 18 percent APR be limited to three every six months (equating to six per year). ${ }^{39}$

Banks making payday loans are keeping borrowers trapped in payday debt, on average, for nearly twice as long as the maximum length of time the FDIC advised, and in many cases for much longer.

## Bank Payday Loans: Average Number of Days in Debt vs. FDIC Limit



Like payday loan shops, banks require that a borrower have a source of income, which can be public benefits, and a checking account to qualify for a payday loan, but they do not underwrite the borrower's ability to repay the loan without having to take out another payday loan to meet recurring obligations. This practice harms not only borrowers, but legitimate lenders and businesses: By ensuring they are first in line to grab what they can from borrowers' incoming deposits, banks leave their customers with fewer funds to repay lenders who do perform meaningful underwriting and less to spend on needed goods and services.

Banks allow loans of up to half of a customer's monthly direct deposit income or up to $\$ 750$, whichever is less. As a result, it is not surprising that CRL's analysis found 44 percent of customers' next deposits go toward repayment of their loan. ${ }^{40}$ This large proportion no doubt contributes to the long-term debt cycle experienced by many bank payday borrowers.
"Many [bank payday loan borrowers] fall into a recurring cycle of taking advances to pay off the previous advance taken."

Bank employee quoted in San Francisco Chronicle, October 2004.

Banks claim to offer consumers "protections" against long-term use, specifically cooling-off periods (breaks between payday loans) and payment plans. ${ }^{41}$ But even the non-bank payday industry's "Best Practices" ${ }^{42}$ call for limits on rollovers (repaying one payday loan with funds from a new one) and encourage lenders to offer the option of an extended payment plan. These "protections" do not stop the cycle of repeat loans, at payday shops or at banks:

- Breaks between payday loans. While technically prohibiting rollovers, payday shops continue to put borrowers in "back-to-back" transactions because they do not consider repaying a loan and immediately taking out another to be a rollover (even though, effectively, it is). ${ }^{43}$

Similarly, banks restrict customers from "renewing" their payday loans but allow back-toback transactions. They also provide for cooling-off periods, but only after a customer has been in debt for many months. As a result, bank payday borrowers end up indebted for a significant portion of the year. Indeed, an insider at one bank offering payday loans admitted, "Many [borrowers] fall into a recurring cycle of taking advances to pay off the previous advance taken., ${ }^{44}$

- Payment plans. At payday shops, borrower use of installment plans is extremely rare. ${ }^{45}$ Lenders have little incentive to encourage these plans and often make them available only to borrowers who have already been in debt to the lender for a considerable period of time and/or in exchange for a considerable upfront fee, among other eligibility restrictions. ${ }^{46}$

Similarly, some banks offer payment plans but only in limited circumstances, such as when a customer has already taken out a payday loan in three consecutive months, or in exchange for an additional $\$ 50$ fee, which must be paid at the time the loan is made.

The failure of these "protections" to curb long-term use of bank payday loans is illustrated by the graph below; CRL's analysis of 55 panelists showed that many borrowers took out 10,20 , or even 30 or more loans in a year:


Finding 3: Nearly one-quarter of all bank payday borrowers are Social Security recipients, who are 2.6 times as likely to have used a bank payday loan as bank customers as a whole. ${ }^{47}$

We were not able to determine to what extent the higher likelihood for Social Security recipients to use a bank payday loan arose from seniors being targeted by banks for payday loans (versus being offered lower-cost checking account lines of credit), or from financial pressures pushing seniors into these loans. We did find, however, that on average, when a Social Security recipient had an outstanding bank payday loan, the bank took a sizeable proportion ( 33 percent) of the borrower's next deposit to repay the loan and fee. ${ }^{48}$

## Discussion

A. The payday shop model that banks are adopting has well-known problems, and, as a result, public sentiment-and state and federal lawtrend against it.

As discussed earlier, the well-documented "debt treadmill" problem that payday loans cause for consumers is created by the structure of the loan itself, which must be repaid in full from a single paycheck or benefits check. Borrowers routinely find themselves unable to repay the loan in full and the fee plus meet their monthly expenses without taking out another payday loan. ${ }^{49}$ The average non-bank payday borrower takes out nine payday loans each year and remains in debt for 212 days during the first year-long-term indebtedness at a very high cost. ${ }^{50}$

Because bank payday loans are structured the same way, we would expect the same pattern of repeat loans to be true in the bank payday context. The table below illustrates how a bank payday borrower earning $\$ 35,000$ a year would be hard pressed to pay back a $\$ 200$ bank payday loan, plus a $\$ 20$ fee, in just one pay period. The bank would, of course, repay itself, but the borrower will be left with insufficient funds to make it to the end of the next pay period without having to take out another payday loan:

Cost of a Two-week, \$200 Bank Payday Loan

| Income and Taxes |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Income per two-week pay period | $\$ 1,342.47$ |
| Federal, state and local taxes | $(\$ 11.16)$ |
| Social Security tax (at 4.2\% rate) | $(\$ 56.38)$ |
| Income after tax | $\$ 1,274.93$ |
|  | $(\$ 220.00)$ |
| Payday loan payment due on \$200 loan ${ }^{51}$ |  |
|  | $\$ 1,054.93$ |
| Paycheck remaining after paying back payday loan |  |
|  | $\$ 181.69$ |
| Household Expenditures per two-week pay period | $\$ 498.09$ |
| Food | $\$ 126.15$ |
| Housing | $\$ 242.07$ |
| Utilities | $\$ 102.95$ |
| Transportation | $\$ 1,150.95$ |
| Healthcare |  |
| Total essential expenditures | $(\$ 96.02)$ |
|  |  |

Source: 2009 Consumer Expenditure Survey, households earning \$30,000-\$39,999. This example is of a borrower earning $\$ 35,000$ per year and excludes other costs such as childcare and clothing.

Public sentiment and state law are moving against payday loan shops. In three recent ballot initiatives in Montana, Arizona and Ohio, voters resoundingly rejected payday lending, despite payday industry campaigns costing tens of millions of dollars. ${ }^{52}$ In addition to the results at the ballot box, polls in several states and nationally consistently show overwhelming support of a 36 percent annual rate limit on payday loans, rather than 400 percent which they typically charge. ${ }^{53}$

In addition, since 2007, seven states have enacted or enforced meaningful reform to address payday lending ${ }^{54}$-while no state without payday lending has authorized it. Federal law, too, has moved against payday lending. In 2006, Congress limited loans made to active duty military personnel and their families to 36 percent annual percentage rate, aiming to prohibit lenders from making payday loans to this population across all states. In 2005, the FDIC imposed the guidelines described above limiting the length of time banks should allow borrowers to be in payday loan debt. And in 2010, the NCUA opted to permit higher cost loans (even then, at no more than 28 percent APR) only three times in a six-month period.

## B. More financially insecure customers, like seniors, are especially vulnerable to problems caused by bank payday loans.

Just as overdraft fees hit more vulnerable bank customers especially hard, so do bank payday loans. Indeed, both products have a significant impact on older Americans receiving Social Security.

Since 2006, the wealth of American households dropped $\$ 6$ trillion, due to decreased home values and losses in stock market-based retirement savings. ${ }^{55}$ The impact of this financial shipwreck can be especially severe for older Americans, who have less time and ability to rebuild their wealth and financial security. And the problem is even more acute for older African-American households, who have only one-sixth of the wealth of older white households. ${ }^{56}$

Many seniors also are finding that their homes are no longer the bedrock of their financial security. Over one-third of seniors report a high housing cost burden, ${ }^{57}$ and the proportion of older homeowners carrying mortgages has grown to 53 percent in 2007, up from 34 percent two decades earlier. ${ }^{58}$ Both these developments increase the financial vulnerability of older households.

Coupled with declines in the value of their largest assets-homes and retirement assetsmany older Americans struggle with limited incomes. More than 13 million older adults are considered economically insecure, living on $\$ 21,800$ or less. ${ }^{59}$ Senior women in particular face diminished incomes because of lower lifetime earnings and Social Security and pension benefits.

Faced with insufficient incomes, many older Americans take on debt to cover medical and living expenses. The average balance of credit card debt for older households in the US is now about $\$ 10,000$, and this has grown much faster than for other age groups. ${ }^{60}$ Other seniors turn to checking account overdraft loans, payday loans and car title loans. ${ }^{61}$

The result is not just more debt, but unaffordable debt. One-fifth of older households with annual incomes below $\$ 50,000$ report spending more than 40 percent of their income on debt payments. ${ }^{62}$ The results are sadly predictable: Those over age 65 make up the fastestgrowing segment of people seeking bankruptcy protection. ${ }^{63}$

The following graph maps two months of checking account activity of one bank customer in our database whose primary source of income is Social Security. The line on the graph represents the borrower's account balance. It goes up when the customer receives a direct deposit, other deposit, or a payday loan or overdraft loan. It goes down when checks, bill payments, debit card transactions, or other withdrawals are posted to the account, or when the bank collects the payday loans (after a direct deposit is received) or overdraft loans (when any deposit is received) and the associated fees.

This graph shows that payday loans and overdraft loans only briefly increase the customer's account balance before it decreases dramatically a few days later when the loan and fees are collected in one lump sum, leading to another high-cost loan. At the end of the two-month period-having been in payday debt, overdraft debt, or both, for 57 out of 61 days and paid $\$ 219$ in fees to borrow less than $\$ 650$ - the borrower is again left with a negative balance, in an immediate crisis, in need of another loan.


[^0][^1]
## C. Payday lending by banks circumvents state laws.

In most states in which payday lenders operate, they are allowed to charge triple-digit rates because of special exemptions from the state's traditional interest rate caps, which apply to consumer finance loans and other small loan products. Payday lenders do not operate in 17 states and the District of Columbia, either because they have re-instituted interest rate caps in recent years, or they have never allowed these loans to be part of their small loan marketplace. ${ }^{64}$

Despite these interest rate caps, at least two national banks are currently offering payday loans in at least seven of the 17 states with interest rate caps on payday loans. ${ }^{65}$ Banks argue that this is permissible under national bank preemption standards, which permit national banks to override state law in some circumstances. At least two state-chartered banks regulated by the Federal Reserve are also making payday loans in states where traditional payday lending is not permitted.

## D. Payday lending by banks undermines federal law aimed at protecting military service members.

In 2006, the federal government capped interest rates on payday loans at 36 percent APR to active-duty members of the military and their families. The protection grew from concern by the Department of Defense and base commanders that troops were incurring high levels of high-cost payday loan debt, which was threatening security clearances and military readiness. ${ }^{66}$

But banks structure their loans in a way that evades the caps on military lending in federal legislation: the law covers "closed-end" credit loans made to service
"This problem with... payday lending is the most serious single financial problem that we have encountered in [one] hundred years."

Admiral Charles Abbot, US (Ret.), President of Navy-Marine Corps Relief Society, testifying before U.S. Senate Banking Committee, September 2006 members ${ }^{67}$ (that is, having a fixed date of repayment) and banks call their payday loans "open-end" instead (even though the loan is indeed ultimately due 35 days later).

## E. The combination of payday loans and overdraft fees exacerbate the financial harm to consumers.

Loans from payday shops have been found to increase the odds that households will repeatedly overdraft and eventually lose their checking accounts. ${ }^{68}$ There is no reason to believe that payday lending by banks would not have the same effect. Bank payday loans enable banks to collect additional fees from consumers who are already struggling with overdrawn accounts, as evidenced by the case study of the Social Security recipient above, who, over two months, paid $\$ 162$ in payday loan interest plus $\$ 57$ in overdraft fees.

Moreover, if funds are not directly deposited into a borrower's account from which the bank payday loan can be repaid within 35 days, the institution pays itself back automatically by pulling funds from the borrower's bank account. If this withdrawal
overdraws the customer's account, all subsequent withdrawals posted to the account (like checks, automatic bill payments, or debit card transactions) may incur an overdraft or insufficient-funds fee until the next deposit is made.

## F. Banking regulators have looked the other way as more banks enter payday lending.

Just as federal banking regulators failed to stop the spread of early overdraft loan abuses, ${ }^{69}$ the regulator of national banks (the OCC) and many state-chartered banks (the Federal Reserve Board) have not taken meaningful action to address payday lending directly by banks. ${ }^{70}$ Most recently, the OCC proposed guidance on payday lending that accepts many current bank practices and essentially condones the most harmful aspects of the product:

- High cost: The OCC recommends only that banks disclose that these loans "may" be costly, even though our research shows the APR for the typical payday loan averages 365 percent.
- Short-term balloon repayment: The OCC recommends only that banks "permit" installment repayment. Some banks already do this, but only if a customer jumps through additional hoops or pays additional fees. Further, this "protection" has already been shown to be grossly ineffective at stopping the debt trap at payday shops.
- Repayment directly from customer's next deposit: Among other shortcomings in this area, the OCC does not instruct banks to treat Social Security or other protected benefits any differently than ordinary income.
- Lack of underwriting. Among additional shortcomings in this area, the OCC suggests stopping the product if a customer's direct deposits stop; this does not amount to a meaningful upfront assessment of a borrower's ability to repay the loan without having to take out another payday loan.


## Recommendations

## Prudential banking regulators

Prudential federal banking regulators, especially the OCC and the Federal Reserve, who have supervisee banks making payday loans, should immediately take meaningful steps to address this product. Payday loans are not consistent with the long-term safety and soundness of financial institutions. They damage consumers' balance sheets, drive families out of the banking system, and pose serious legal and reputational risks to banksall of which ultimately threaten banks' deposit bases. The federal banking regulators should:

## - Use immediate supervisory and enforcement authority to stop the banks they

 supervise from making payday loans. In the 2000s, the federal banking regulators used their supervisory authority to stop banks from partnering with payday loan shops to allow the payday shops to skirt state laws. They should similarly stop banks from making payday loans directly.- In the alternative, impose a moratorium on payday loans offered by banks they supervise while they collect and make public data directly from the banks demonstrating the usage patterns of this product.
- Permit only loans with costs and repayment terms that customers can and do repay without rollovers. At the very least, limit high-cost, short-term, singlepayment loans to 90 days' indebtedness or 6 loans per year, whichever is less. This standard would be consistent with the FDIC's 2005 guidance limiting payday debt to 90 days per year and the NCUA's rule limiting higher cost loans to three every six months. It should apply to both payday and overdraft loans combined.


## Consumer Financial Protection Bureau (CFPB)

Effective this week, the Consumer Financial Protection Bureau (CFPB) becomes the primary consumer protection regulator of large financial institutions. Particularly in light of the prudential regulators' failure to rein in payday lending by banks, the CFPB must take an active role to protect consumers from this abusive product. It should:

- Immediately, collect and make public data directly from banks demonstrating the usage patterns of this product and its impact on consumers, including Social Security recipients, military service members, and people of color.
- Prepare to use supervisory and enforcement authority to stop the banks they supervise from making payday loans.

Moreover, in an early action addressing overdraft fees, the CFPB should tell banks to stop manipulating the order in which transactions are posted in order to increase overdraft fees, and it should explicitly state that posting transactions in order from highest to lowest is prohibited.
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